307 Object

Document Element: MM14 (Main)
Respondent: Wivenhoe Town Council (Mrs Joanne Beighton-Emms, Town Clerk) [96]

Summary:
Further clarification is needed with this modification

Full text:
Further clarification is needed with this modification

Change suggested by respondent:
This needs an additional to say that the area woodland will be preserved.

Legally compliant: No
Sound: No
Comply with duty: Yes
Attachments: None

350 Support

Document Element: MM14 (Main)

Respondent: Latimer (Tendring Colchester Borders Garden Community) Developments Limited [127]

Agent: Lichfields (Miss Charlotte Walker, Planner) [124]

Summary:

Latimer have no objection to MM14 in principle, but continue to object to the DPD's general approach relating to land south of the A133.

Full text:

Latimer have no objection to MM14 in principle, but continue to object to the DPD's general approach relating to land south of the A133.

As set out in Latimer's Regulation 19 Representation, and referred to in the Statement of Common and Uncommon Ground (SoCUG) between the Councils (SCG06) and Latimer and the Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) between the University of Essex (UoE) and Latimer, Latimer are concerned that the DPD does not adequately account for the UoE's projected growth and associated need for priority student accommodation.

Latimer's view is that the DPD is overly restrictive in allocating land south of the A133 for a Sports and Leisure Park (and Park and Choose), when there is a clear need for student accommodation (as set out in the University representations and Wisher Consulting report). Latimer consider that there is an opportunity for land south of the A133 to forge a stronger relationship with the Garden Community if it were to accommodate a range of uses including, a Sports Hub, student accommodation and University campus expansion (alongside the Park and Choose). Latimer consider that a more flexible allocation of uses within the DPD would allow this to be explored in conjunction with the University.

Therefore, rewording of GC Policy 1 (Parts B and F) and GC Policy 4 (Part H) are requested at Appendix 2 of SoCUG between Latimer and the Councils (references 1C, 1D and 4A). Related amendments are also requested by Latimer at Appendix 3 of the SoCUG to the Policies Map and Strategic Illustrative Framework Masterplan.

It is Latimer's case that whilst this topic goes to the Soundness of the DPD, there is no 'fundamental' lack of soundness to the approach of the allocation – it is, however, an important matter for the implementation of the DPD, including achieving necessary housing delivery and high-quality urban design principles at the Garden Community.

Change suggested by respondent:

-

Legally compliant: Not specified

Sound: Not specified

Comply with duty: Not specified

Attachments: None

351 Support

Document Element: MM17 (Main)

Respondent: Latimer (Tendring Colchester Borders Garden Community) Developments Limited [127]

Agent: Lichfields (Miss Charlotte Walker, Planner) [124]

Summary:

Latimer support modification MM17, this being an amendment agreed between Latimer and the Councils in the joint Statement of Common Ground, dated 18 April 2024. The amendment aligns the policies map with the DPD itself (which has no equivalent policy requirement). Latimer, alongside appointed project ecologists, Stantec, continue to engage with Natural England as part of the forthcoming planning application.

Full text:

Latimer support modification MM17, this being an amendment agreed between Latimer and the Councils in the joint Statement of Common Ground, dated 18 April 2024. The amendment aligns the policies map with the DPD itself (which has no equivalent policy requirement). Latimer, alongside appointed project ecologists, Stantec, continue to engage with Natural England as part of the forthcoming planning application.

Change suggested by respondent:

-

Legally compliant: Not specified

Sound: Not specified

Comply with duty: Not specified

Attachments: None